

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 WWW.USDTO.GOV

ELSA KELLER
SIEMENS CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH
ISELIN NJ 08830

MAILED

MAR 282011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Darrell D. Burckhardt

Application No. 10/666,491

Filed: September 19, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 2003P07968 US

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 07, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before March 01, 2007, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed December 01, 2006. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is March 02, 2007.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$1510 and the publication fee of \$300, (2) the petition fee of \$1620; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the Issue Fee is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a

reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at (571) 272-2783.

This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: VINCENT DE LUCA

NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP

300 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, N.W.

FIFTH FLOOR

WASHINGTON DC 20001